

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHANGE LENDING, LLC,  
Plaintiff,

V.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
TREASURY, COMMUNITY  
DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL  
INSTITUTIONS FUND,

## Defendant.

Case No. 8:23-cv-01626-JVS-PVC

## **ORDER ON STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES [14]**

Pursuant to the Stipulation filed by the parties and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadlines for briefing on Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not Issue (ECF 8) ("Application") shall be extended as follows: (i) CDFI Fund shall file their opposition, if any, to the Application by November 17, 2023, extended from September 11, 2023; (ii) Change Lending may make any further filing in support of the Application by December 1, 2023, extended from September 14, 2023; and (iii) the parties shall appear for a hearing on the Application **on December 11, 2023 at 1:30 p.m.**

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4)(A),  
2 Defendants' deadline for responsive pleadings shall run from the date of the Court's  
3 entry of an order on the preliminary injunction (with Defendant afforded 30 days to  
4 respond to the Complaint (ECF 1) from any such preliminary injunction order date),  
5 or as otherwise ordered by the Court.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, as the Defendant has exercised its  
7 discretion to consent to the extension, and the extension will allow additional time  
8 for the Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's communications disputing decertification,  
9 the Court's September 1, 2023, Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show  
10 Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not Issue (ECF 11) shall remain in  
11 effect until such time as the Court rules on the preliminary injunction.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, consistent with the issued TRO, CDFI  
13 Fund's actions do not prohibit Plaintiff from continuing to make loans to consumers  
14 and there shall be no actions taken by the Parties to retroactively decertify Plaintiff  
15 for the period covered by the TRO or this Agreement. For clarity such period shall  
16 end based on a resolution of this matter by the Parties or a ruling by the Court on the  
17 Preliminary Injunction.

18  
19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 DATED: September 11, 2023

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES V. SELNA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28